

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,902	01/25/2006	Akira Suzuki	Q92405	7356
65565 SUGHRUE-26	65565 7590 08/12/2009 SUGHRUE-265550		EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW			SANTIAGO, MARICELI	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/565.902 SUZUKI ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2879 Mariceli Santiago All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Mariceli Santiago. (2) Thomas M. Hunter. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 10 August 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: . . Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; Applicant's attorney requested further clarification of the Advisory Action mailed August 3, 2009, where the amendment to the claims where indicated as NOT entered (see item 3(a)). Also for purpose of Appeal the proposed amendment to the claims will NOT be entered because they raise new issues which require further consideration and/or search. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Mariceli Santiago/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2879
U.S. Patent and Trademak Office